## SKR. INITIAL M FOLLOWED BY H OR ASPIRATION IN THE NEXT SYLLABLE > INITIAL BH IN M. I. A. V.

By Duni Chandra, M.A., Lecturer, Govt. College, Lahore.

## Abbreviations.

M.I.A.V. Modern Indo-Aryan Vernaculars. Pkr Prakrit. Skr. Sanskrit. Ph. Panjabi. H Hindi. G. Gujrati. S. Sindhi. Mar. Marāthi. B. Bengali. Nep. Nepali. Pa. Pali. Persian. Per. N. Neuter gender. Masculine gender. M

Initial bh of Skr. has in most cases been retained in Pkr. as well as in its later descendants, as, Skr. bhramara- > Pkr. bhamara > Pb. bhaur; Skr. bhajyate > Pkr. bhajjai > Pb. bhajje. There are a few cases, however, in which the initial bh has descended from the initial m and the aspiration of the succeeding syllable. (See examples, given below). The fact that the retention of the initial bh was somewhat regular, and the change of the initial m combined with the h or aspiration of the following syllable was rare, has been responsible for the extension of the former phonetic change even at the cost of the latter. This note aims at restoring to mh a few of its own descendants.

Corresponding to Pb. bhijj we have H. bhījnā, Mar. bhijnē, G. bhijvũ, Nep. bhij-, B bhijā, S. bhijaņu, all meaning "to be wet, damp or moist." In some of these vernaculars we also come across the verbal roots like Pb. bhēṇā, bhiaũṇā, II. bhigōnā, bhigāna, bhijānā, mihānā, meaning "to make wet", "to moisten,"

H. mihā, bhij, and bhigā, all related to each other in sense and sound, point to a common origin. Through their form and meaning they seem to suggest that they are connected with and descended from the Skr. mih-, "to wet, to moisten." H. bhij, Pb. bhijj, could be derived from Skr. mihyate, H. bhigo, bhigā, (from \*meghaya-causal of mih) and mihā also from Skr. mih. Pb. bhē could be connected with Skr. mehati, while bhiaū is the causal of bhē.

The phonetic change is already known to us through the following words. H. bhaīs, G. bhēs, Nep. bhaīsi, Ph. maīh, etc., have been considered by most of the scholars as descended from Skr. mahiṣī. Mar. bharai has been traced back to Skr. smarati (Grammatik der Prakrit Sprachen, P. 217). We know that the initial m in Skr. changes into v in Pkr., as Skr. manmathaḥ > Pkr. vammatho. We are also acquainted with the change of medial hv into bh as in the case of Skr. jihvā > Pkr. jibbhā to Pb. H. jībh and Pb. jīb and H. jīh. These examples show that in some cases the initial m with the aspirations of the following syllable shifted close to it has yielded bh, probably through vh. Whatever the process, the phonetic change is the same as has already been admitted to have taken place in the case of certain words.

It will be useful here to make mention of the descendants of Skr. dah., to burn. In H. we have dāh, dāgh and dājh, corresponding to H. mihā, bhigā and bhij. In Skr., too, we find dāgha- and megha- (corresponding to Persian dāg and meg) along with dah- and mih-, the two latter having lost the soft guttural and retained only the aspiration.

Again, the connection of **bhij** with rain is clearly indicated by the Mar. words **bhij**-pāūs [Skr. prāvṛṣ-], well wetting or soaking rain, and Mar. bhijāṇā, soaked grain.

Not only this. The new derivation enables us also to offer a satisfactory interpretation of Pa. abhijjamano, which has so far been considered difficult to explain (See abhijiamāno in Pa. Eng. Dictionary—Rhys Davids & William Stede, Surrey, 1925), in the contexts in which it occurs. For example, in Digha Nikāya, London, 1890, Vol. 1, page 78, we read a description of the psychic powers of a Bhikkhu. He is described as having the power of becoming invisible, as being able to plunge into and emerge from the earth as one can do in the case of water, as being able to pass (penetrate) through a mountain, a wall or a rampart without touching it. In this very text we read about him "udake pi abhijjamāno gacchati seyyathā pi pathaviyam." This abhijiamano has been derived by some scholars from Skr. abhid-ya-mānah, not being broken. It is just possible that others may be connecting it with Skr. abhi-añj-, to smear with oil etc. It is clear, however, that sentences like "he goes remaining unbroken even in water," or "he goes getting smeared even in water" are meaningless in the passage referred to. On the other hand, if we connect Pa. abhijjamāno with Skr. \*a mih ya manah, not being made wet, we at once get at the right interpretation which is "he goes into water without getting wet as one (goes without getting wet) on land."

Against the etymology already holding the field and accepted by the pre-eminent scholars like Prof. Turner and Prof. Bloch, the following objections suggest themselves to us:—

- 1. bhij, bhigo, bhë, bhiau, mihā of M. I. A. V., related to each other in sense and sound, point to a common origin. It does not seem so easy to derive mihā from Skr. abhyauj...
- 2. We find that bhij, bhigā etc., mean to be wet, to moisten respectively. Skr. abhyañj-, however, has always been employed in the sense of smearing or annointing as with oily substances.

Its primitive sense is that of adorning or decorating. Scholars are all acquainted with the fact that Skr. verbs have, in most cases, retained their original meaning even if some secondary senses have cropped up in the course of semantic evolution. Such cases in which the original sense has altogether disappeared are very rare. It becomes necessary therefore for those upholding the view in favour of abhyanj-to prove that the evolution of the meaning has indeed taken place in the manner suggested by them.

Let us take another word. It is known to most scholars that Pb. bhedū is a descendant of Skr. mēdhra-, so is also Pb. bhēd. a representative of Skr. medhrī. Similarly in Hindi we have bhēr, a ram, from Skr. mēdhra-, and bhēr, a sheep, from Skr. mēdhrī, and also H. mễdhã and H. bhēdā from medhra+ka. (By the way, the presence of aspiration in the second syllable of medha and its absence in the same syllable of bhēdā-lends support to the view that bh is a representative of m and aspiration), G. bhed, a sheep, a goat, too, is to be traced back to Skr. medhrī. Skr. medhra-, n., is a primary derivative of mih- with the suffix-tra (stran) (Panini 3. 2. 182). We get medhrathrough megh- and tra. Medhra-, mas., a ram, seems probably a product of false analogy with its n. medhra. The regular mas. stem should have been medhr and its nominative singular would have beeh medha. It does not seem improbable that Mar. bhed (poetical) timid, is but a figurative use of G. bhēd, in the sense of sheep or goat, the latter being always considered timid (cf. Per. buzdil—coward, lit. having the heart of a goat).

Even if there were any doubt with regard to the derivation of bhij from Skr. mih-y-, it should disappear now in the light of the similar phonetic change that has taken place in the case of a derivative of mih- itself.

Similarly we have Pb. bhull, H. bhūl, Nep. bhul, B. bhulā, Mar. bhul, G. bhul. Different scholars have derived them differently. Professors Turner, Pischel and Bloch derive them from Skr. bhur-through bhulati\*, Pkr. luhai through lubhai\*.

The very fact that Skr. bhur- has been used very rarely renders it improbable that Pb. bhull etc., have descended from it. It is the rarely used words that die a natural death and it is the active words, frequently employed, that go on living in one form or the other. Pb. bhull and H. bhūl can be derived from Skr. mūdha, the past participle from Skr. muh-. The different senses in which Skr. muh- and its derivatives like mūdha, mugdha, are used are nearly the same in which Pb. bhull and its derivatives like bhōlā, bhulliā are employed. In Skr. we have adhvānam mōhayati corresponding to Pb. rastā bhulāda hai. The meaning of a-mōgha-, unerring, not going astray, seems to support the new view-point to a certain extent.

That the root originates from a past participle need not surprise us. There are so many other roots in this and other vernaculars, for example, Pb. natth from Skr. naṣṭa-, H. rūṭh from Skr. ruṣṭa- etc. which have past participle as the root. (See in this connection art. No. 241 in formation de la langue marathe, Bloch, Paris, 1920.)

Again, if we compare Pb. and II. bhir, in the senses of uniting, colliding, coupling, pairing and its relatives in the other M.I.A.V. with the Skr. mith-, we find there is striking resemblance in their meanings. The phonetic change is the same as in the case of Pb. bhij, Pb. bhull, II. bhais, etc. The change may be considered something like mith->mhit->mhid-> bhir-. The change of t in mhit- into d and subsequently into r is on all fours with the change of Skr. pat-, to fail, into H. par.

It is clear from what is given above that Pb. bhijj, bhull, bhir and other near relatives of theirs, one and all, owe their birth in reality to Skr. words with initial m followed by hor aspiration in the succeeding syllable. But Skr. words beginning with bh having a large and rich family and long list of descendants may be said to have succeeded in bringing certain others born of poor parents into their own fold. It is but proper to restore the lost children to their own parents in view of the points raised in this short note.